|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Domain | Reviewer 1 | Reviewer 2 |
| A- Form quality |  |  |
| A1- Clear, logical, and comprehensive text. | Yes | Yes |
| A2- IMRAD structure followed (if applicable to the disciplinary field). | Yes | Yes |
| A3- No spelling or grammatical errors. | Yes | Yes |
| A4- Tables and figures of high interpretative quality (if applicable). | Not applicable | Yes |
| A5- Bibliography is cited in the text and correctly referenced. | No | No |
| B- Title, introduction and innovative aspect |  |  |
| B1- The research question is clearly presented. | No | No |
| B2- The state of the art clarifies the research question and main theoretical concepts. | Yes | Yes |
| B3- The state of the art is consistent with the research question. | No | Yes |
| B4- The research question is original. | Not applicable | No |
| B5- The title accurately informs the research question. | Yes | Yes |
| C- Method (Interventional studies) |  |  |
| C1- The chosen study design is relevant to the research question. | Yes | Yes |
| C2- Eligibility criteria (inclusion, non-inclusion, and exclusion) are consistent and relevant to the research question. | Yes | Yes |
| C3- A comparator group is present. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C4- Confounding biases have been addressed (randomisation, stratification, matching, etc.). | No | No |
| C5- Procedures that can be conducted blindly have been performed. | No | No |
| C6- Procedures that can be randomised have been done. | Yes | Yes |
| C7- Evaluation elements (eligibility criteria and outcome measures) are justified and metrologically relevant. | Yes | Yes |
| C8- Statistical tests are consistent and relevant. | No | No |
| C- Method (Reviews) |  |  |
| C9- Eligibility criteria (inclusion, non-inclusion, and exclusion) are consistent and relevant to the research question. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C10- Only the best study designs are selected for the review. C11- Risks of bias in primary studies are evaluated. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C11- Risks of bias in primary studies are evaluated. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C12- Selection, rating, and extraction of data from primary studies have been performed by at least two individuals. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C13- Conflicts of interest are addressed. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C- Method (Social and human science) |  |  |
| C14- The survey design is explained (ethnographic observations, interviews, questionnaire, etc.). | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C15- The researcher’s positioning is explained (involvement, participation, relationship with respondents and/or research subject). | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C16- The survey population or corpus is described (number of methods or volume of corpus), and this choice is justified. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C17- The context is explained (relevance of the chosen moment for decoding reality). | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| C18- The research tools are appropriate to the research question. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D- Results, Discussion, Conclusion (Interventional studies) |  |  |
| D1- Main results are presented with measures of central tendency and dispersion. | Yes | Yes |
| D2- The results are correctly interpreted. | Yes | Yes |
| D3- The discussion presents limitations and biases. | Yes | Yes |
| D4- The results are compared to other existing data. | No | No |
| D5- The conclusion considers the methodological quality of the study. | Yes | Yes |
| D- Results, Discussion, Conclusion (Revues) |  |  |
| D6- Main results are presented with measures of central tendency and dispersion. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D7- The results are correctly interpreted. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D8- The discussion presents limitations and biases. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D9- The results are compared to other existing data. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D10- The conclusion takes into account the methodological quality of the study and the included primary studies. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D- Results, Discussion, Conclusion (Social and human science) |  |  |
| D11- The interpretation of the results is clear. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D12- The analysis of the results is convincing. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D13- An effort toward theorization is apparent (link with existing theory, proposal for decontextualisation, etc.). | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D14- Limitations are presented. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| D15- The researcher’s uniqueness is adequately considered in these results. | Not applicable | Not applicable |
| E- Interest et applicability for physiotherapy |  |  |
| E1- A relevant link is presented in the introduction between the research question and the profession. | No | No |
| E2- A relevant professional application is proposed in the discussion and/or conclusion (if applicable) - in clinical practice, training, etc | Yes | Yes |
| E3- The study addresses a relevant current topic, ideally related to the conference theme. | No | Yes |
| E4- The study facilitates intellectual advancement in the field of the research question. | Yes | No |
| Absolute score | 15/24 | 17/26 |
| Relative Score | 12.5/20 | 13.1/20 |

Appendix 1: Example of the evaluation checklist