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ABSTRACT

Background: The Knowledge of Research Evidence Competencies questionnaire (K-REC) stands out as one of the only quick, easy to administer, valid and reliable tests to measure respondents’ actual evidence-based practice (EBP) knowledge. Such reliable and valid tools to assess actual EBP knowledge do not exist in French. Objective: The purpose of this study is to translate, cross-culturally adapt and validate the K-REC questionnaire to assess EBP knowledge in French languages. Methods: A committee of experts followed the five-step adaptation and validation process recommended in the guidelines to translate the K-REC from English to French. A preliminary psychometric test was conducted among 21 French physiotherapists (PT). Results: The respondents rated the instrument as being very clear (99% of the ratings). Members of the expert panel were in perfect agreement (Cohen’s kappa inter-rater coefficient equal to 1) in judging the instrument to be content valid (S-CVI/Ave = 1.00). Conclusion: The K-REC has been successfully adapted and validated in French. Pilot testing provided a preliminary description of internal reliability estimates and respondents’ scores. More complete descriptions of EBP among French health professions will be possible with this new instrument and contribute to the refinement of EBP training programs.
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Introduction

Evidence-based practice (EBP) is commonly defined by the mutual integration of the latest research evidence, patient preferences and clinical expertise. Initially applied to medicine [1], it is now appraised in health and social care professions. Despite such valuation, practitioners still report barriers to implementing EBP in their clinical routine. Consequently, EBP education and training programs have been extensively developed over the last decade [2, 3, 4]. To appreciate the educative value, it became important to develop tools to assess how EBP is perceived and how it is used in a clinical setting by practitioners [5]. However, cross-cultural and interprofessional studies remain scarce. For example, the first inventories of EBP perception among physiotherapists (PT) have only started to be provided since the early 2020’s in France [6], Italy [7], Saudi Arabia [8], Philippines [9], United Arab Emirates [10], Canada [11] and Australia [12]. To make up for such a gap, reliable and valid tools must be developed and adapted to different cultural and professional contexts. Building on tools developed to test evidence-based skills and knowledge in medicine [13] and other health professions [14] including PT [15], Lewis et al. elaborated the Knowledge of Research Evidence Competencies questionnaire (K-REC, [16]). The K-REC mimics a clinical scenario to measure actual EBP knowledge of respondents. It has been proven to be valid and has been used in several entry-level and longitudinal studies involving PT [12, 16, 17]. While the first description of self-reported EBP perceptions among French PT has recently been provided [6], actual EBP knowledge among French practitioners has never been evaluated. This is because there are no reliable and valid tools to assess actual EBP knowledge in French. To
complement inventories on self-reported EBP perception among French PT [6], we decided to adapt the K-REC. Hence, the purpose of this study is to provide a valid and reliable adaptation of the K-REC in French. A secondary objective is to report on preliminary psychometric testing of the instrument among French PT.

Method

Design

The protocol for the translation of the K-REC from English (source language, SL) to French (target language, TL) had been designed before conducting the study. It complies with the process recommended by the conventional guidelines used in health care contexts to adapt and validate instruments [18, 19]. It consists in a five-step process including [1] a double initial translation by two independent translators, [2] a synthesis of the double translation, [3] a double back-translation to the original language, [4] the appraisal of a committee of experts to produce a pre-final version and [5] the pilot testing and validation of the pre-final version.

K-REC questionnaire

The K-REC (Supplementary materials) mimics a clinical scenario to measure actual EBP knowledge of respondents. It is a 9-item, 10-minute questionnaire with a maximum score of 12 asking for short answers (multiple choice, true or false, or short open-ended). It has been designed to be easy to score using marking guidelines. It has good test-retest (Cohen's kappa and ICC range from 0.62 to perfect agreement) and inter-rater (Cohen's kappa and ICC range from 0.83 to perfect agreement) reliability for individual item and total scores. It has also been shown to differentiate EBP training exposure (e.g. construct validity, p<1.10-4, effect size=1.13 [16]). Permission to adapt the K-REC was granted by the authors of the original instrument before the establishment of the translation.

Step 1 – Initial translation

On this first step, two persons independently performed a forward translation. In addition of providing an individual translation (T1 and T2), each translator wrote a report (Supplementary materials) that included a summary of the rationale of their choices and additional comments on potentially challenging sentences or uncertainties. The T1 translator (VF) is the principal investigator, a biomedical researcher specialized in neurosciences, non-naive to the K-REC. The T2 translator (NL) is an epidemiologist with experiences in EBP and musculoskeletal disorders and was naive to the K-REC. Both translators were accustomed to speaking and writing in the SL and the TL, worked in SL and TL-speaking countries (VF in United States, Canada and France, NL in Denmark, Canada and France) and have already been involved in projects focused on EBP. As recommended [18, 19], [1] translators' mother language was the TL, [2] one was naive to the instrument and the other not, and [3] they had different backgrounds and profiles.

Step 2 – Synthesis of the translations

A consensual synthesis of T1 and T2 (named T12) was made. The consensus was held between the two translators that carefully documented ambiguities and discrepancies and how they were resolved (Supplementary materials).

Step 3 – Blinded backward translation

Two other independent persons individually performed a backward translation (BT1 and BT2) to the SL of the consensual forward translation T12. The purpose of this step is to highlight potential inaccuracies in the T12. Both backward translators were used to speaking, working, and translating in the SL. The provider of BT1 (BF) is a PT familiar with translating content and symposia from SL to TL and from TL to SL.

The provider of BT2 (SE) is a SL and TL dual national chiropractor. In addition to their translation skills, we formed this pair to obtain translations from people whose profile comply with the target audience but have different backgrounds and occupations. BT1 and BT2 are provided in the supplementary materials.

Step 4 – Establishment of the pre-final version by an expert committee

A multidisciplinary committee of 6 experts was reunited to evaluate, revise, and consolidate the translation process to establish a pre-final version (PF) of the instrument in the TL. To make up their decisions, the experts were provided the original questionnaire and each translation step (T1, T2, T12, BT1, BT2 and their associated reports). The main objective of the committee was to achieve equivalence between the source and target version in four areas: semantic, idiomatic, experiential and conceptual [18]. Issues, decisions, and their rationale were documented in the supplementary materials along with the PF.

The committee was composed of a multidisciplinary team including methodologists, practitioners and translators involved in steps 1-3. The composition of the committee is detailed in the supplementary materials. If ambiguities and discrepancies would have not been resolved, we considered repeating steps 1 to 4. A consensual PF was appraised from the first round of the four-step process.

Step 5 – Pilot testing of the pre-final version

In accordance with the purpose of the instrument, the PF was first tested on a sample size of 25 French PT. The sample size was set to follow guidelines for sampling adequacy [18, 19]. For convenience, we enrolled participants from the target audience (PT) belonging to the authors' and their affiliations' networks. To avoid potential biases, we blinded the participants to the purpose of the study and to the fact we were the investigators. We asked each participant to respond to the questionnaire and to rate as clear or unclear the instructions and items of the questionnaire. Participants were asked to provide a short description on the issues and their potential suggestions for unclear ratings. In addition, they were also asked to briefly describe their perception of the objective of the questionnaire.

The questionnaire was delivered on a web-based platform (SurveyMonkey™). The questionnaire started with a short notice indicating the instructions, confidentiality disclosure, General Data Protection Regulation (EU Regulation 2016/679) and right of withdrawal. Only participants agreeing to the conditions continued to the beginning of the questionnaire. Instructions were purposely kept vague. Participants were informed that they will be asked to individually evaluate the clarity of items composing a questionnaire that is intended to be understandable for health professionals, in particular PT. Answers to the questions and clarity ratings were collected following the numerical order of questions. A unique, anonymized identifier was attributed to each participant. The survey link was made private. Completion of the questionnaire was restrained to a two-week period in November 2022.

Answers, clarity ratings, and potential missing data were evaluated in the sample. Following recommendations, elements with at least 20% of unclear ratings would have been considered for revision [19, 20]. Content validity was independently assessed by two members of the expert committee. They were provided with a report of each item including the distribution of collected answers and missing data, the descriptive statistics of clarity ratings, the correct response(s) and the descriptive statistics of the number of points scored in the sample. On this basis, they were asked to independently rate each item for content validity using the following scale: 1=not relevant, 2=unable to assess relevance, 3=relevant but needs minor alteration, 4=very relevant and succinct [19, 21]. Ratings of 3 or more are considered content valid, whereas ratings of 2 or less would imply that the item should be revised.
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Answers from respondents that judged the PF totally clear (0 elements 
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Results

Validation of the pre-final version into the K-REC-Fr

Responses to the PF were collected from 25 blinded participants. Twenty-
one participants (84%) answered every item. The four remaining partici-
pants judged the clinical scenario to be clear but neither of them went 

further on in the questionnaire. Subsequent analyses were performed on 

the sample of 21 respondents. Respondents were between 26 and 40 years 
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Preliminary psychometric testing

Internal reliability estimates varied between 0.22 (β) and 0.88 (λ4, Table 

2). Respondents scored between 2.5 and 10 out of 12. Fifty-seven percent

of respondents scored above 50%, which is equivalent to passing the

Table 1 Characteristics of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MD (Q1-Q3) / n (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>33.5(30.00-35.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20 (95.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1 (4.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of physiotherapist diploma graduation</td>
<td>2011(2009-2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Internal reliability estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cronbach's alpha</th>
<th>β (min)</th>
<th>ωh</th>
<th>λ4 (smc)</th>
<th>λ4 (max)</th>
<th>ωt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We enhanced the initial internal reliability description of the original instrument [16], by computing additional internal reliability estimates of the K-REC-Fr. These values were computed for descriptive purposes and should not be used for arbitrary cut-point classifications; rather, they should be compared with studies that share a similar framework. Lower values were found for Cronbach’s alpha (0.53) and β (0.22). These coefficients assess a single construct that is common to all items. Conversely, higher values were found for multifactorial coefficients (ωs and As). These coefficients assess a single construct that is common to all items. Such characteristics suggest multidimensionality in the internal reliability of the test. This is consistent with the subdivision of the questionnaire into content to be tested (namely: research question, search strategy, research design, critical appraisal, research evidence statistics, and levels of evidence).

The lowest respondent scores were found for research evidence statistics and search strategy items. These preliminary results need to be confirmed in a larger sample of the target population.

The K-REC has been developed to evaluate the first three fundamental steps of the EBP process model (ask, acquire, and appraise) among entry-level health professionals. It has been deliberately designed to be quick to complete (10 minutes) and easy to score, while covering the content of longer instruments such as the Fresno on which it is based [13, 16]. The Fresno is one of the most appraised historical tests to assess EBP skills of PT in France.

This made the K-REC-Fr the first validated instrument to assess EBP skills of PT in France. We enhanced the initial internal reliability description of the original instrument [16], by computing additional internal reliability estimates of the K-REC-Fr. These values were computed for descriptive purposes and should not be used for arbitrary cut-point classifications; rather, they should be compared with studies that share a similar framework. Lower values were found for Cronbach’s alpha (0.53) and β (0.22). These coefficients assess a single construct that is common to all items. Conversely, higher values were found for multifactorial coefficients (ωs and As). These coefficients assess a single construct that is common to all items. Such characteristics suggest multidimensionality in the internal reliability of the test. This is consistent with the subdivision of the questionnaire into content to be tested (namely: research question, search strategy, research design, critical appraisal, research evidence statistics, and levels of evidence).
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been used to describe the EBP profiles of health professionals in a variety of contexts [9, 37, 38, 39]. However, it only measures self-reported perceptions of EBP determinants (knowledge, skills, attitudes, and beliefs). Because the K-REC is quick to complete, accessible to novice respondents, and complementary to the EBP2, it has begun to be linked to the EBP2 to effectively capture EBP perceptions and actual knowledge [12, 17, 34]. A recent study provided the first description of the EBP profiles of PTs working in France [6]. However, this description only assessed self-reported perceptions and not actual knowledge of EBP due to the lack of an adapted tool. The adaptation of appropriate and validated tools, such as the K-REC, would make it possible to complement such an inventory by reporting actual EBP knowledge. Therefore, the successful translation and adaptation of the K-REC presented in this article will make it possible to continue and complete the existing inventory of EBP among PT practicing in France.

Strengths, limitations and considerations for further research

The main strength of this study is the application of the recommended guidelines for the translation and adaptation of self-report instruments in health-care contexts [18, 19]. The study protocol adhered to the pre-study recommendations, underwent conduction, and was reviewed by the authors of the original instrument. Each step of the protocol was carried out as planned and were reported transparently. The pilot study allowed for a description of the internal consistency estimates of the instrument and summary statistics of respondent scores. Our study has some limitations. Because our study was not designed to provide precise descriptions, the results of the psychometric tests should be used only to formulate hypotheses. Our sample was a convenience sample of the target population and the results may not be generalizable. Studies with larger sample sizes are needed to more accurately describe the EBP profiles of PT.

Conclusion

This study provided an appraised and validated French instrument to assess actual EBP knowledge. This instrument is the result of the successful translation and adaptation of the K-REC for French PT, making it the first validated instrument to assess EBP skills of PT in France. The development and adaptation of valid and reliable instruments is fundamental for the assessment of EBP learning. Such assessment will contribute to the appropriate development of educational programs according to evidence-based teaching principles. The K-REC-Fr will allow the continuation and completion of the existing inventory of EBP among PT practicing in France, which could contribute to the improvement of continuing education programs.
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